



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,819	08/22/2001	Shoichi Kamano	032865-012	7236	
75	90 08/11/2005		EXAM	INER	
William C. Rowland			MEONSKE, TONIA L		
BURNS, DOAN	NE, SWECKER & MATI	HIS, L.L.P.			
P.O. Box 1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404		2183			
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathbf{M}
2
7 1
V.!

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/933,819	KAMANO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tonia L. Meonske	2183	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
•	Tonia L. Meonske	2183						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) \square The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further column (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	I be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 		•						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

On page 2, Applicant argues in essence:

"The logic 302 of Beacom does not select from among a plurality of control signals."

However, element 302 of Beacom does select from among a plurality of control signals, signals from element 360, element 301, and element 304. See column 6, lines 9-16. Element 302 outputs a hold or not based on the purality of input control signals. Therefore this arguement is moot.

EDDIE CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100